Sources of Fecal Contamination in the Dolomite Aquifer in Northeastern Wisconsin Mark Borchardt, Maureen Muldoon & Sue Spencer **USDA-Agricultural Research Service UW-Oshkosh Department of Geology Randall Hunt, Joel Stokdyk & Aaron Firnstahl USGS** Wisconsin Water Science Center **Davina Bonness & Kevin Masarik Kewaunee County Land and Water Conservation UW-Stevens Point Watershed Science and Education Burney Kieke Marshfield Clinic Research Foundation**

Silurian Dolomite Aquifer







Photos courtesy of Ken Bradbury and Maureen Muldoon

Silurian Dolomite Aquifer Characteristics

- Dense and ubiquitous fracture network
 - little surface runoff
 - water easily infiltrates to subsurface
- Recharge
 - exceedingly rapid
 - carries surface contaminants to the water table
- Flow within the aquifer occurs primarily along bedding plane fractures
 - Little to no attenuation of contaminants within the aquifer
- Flow rates vary from 10's to 100's of ft/day



Slide courtesy of Maureen Muldoon

Brown Water Events in Northeast



- Groundwater recharge, especially spring snow melt, can generate brown water events
- Several outbreaks associated with these events e.g., EHEC, *Campylobacter jejuni*
- This well is code compliant, 123 ft deep, cased to 63 ft

Photos courtesy of Chuck Wagner

Kewaunee County CAFOs

- In WI, Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs) are defined as those farms having > 1000 animal units
- Kewaunee County has 16 CAFOs (15 dairy and one beef operation)
- 98,000 cows, heifers, and calves in the county





Manure Application

Timing

- in Fall (after harvest) and Spring (prior to planting)
- Application rates
 - determined by Nutrient Management Plans (NMPs) that are designed to maximize crop yields while minimizing surface runoff
 - Protective rate of application in fractured rock areas is 25 tons/acre (or ~9000 gallons)

Kewaunee County Nutrient Management - CAFO



Political/Social Context

- Citizen activists hired attorneys to challenge a WPDES permit for a CAFO expansion
- Have petitioned U.S. EPA to intervene under emergency powers of SDWA



In a <u>petition</u> to the federal agency, the groups say the state Department of Natural Resources has failed to protect drinking water for county residents on two fronts: through its powers to regulate groundwater; and the agency's oversight of large-scale cattle operations.

The environmental groups estimate that the tens of thousands of cattle in the county produce the manure equivalent of more than 900,000 humans — or more than the city of Milwaukee.

Last year, 149 wells, or nearly 31% of the 483 wells tested in the

Current Research Objectives

- Design a county-wide randomized sampling plan, stratified by depth-to-bedrock, for nitrate and indicator bacteria
- Sample once per season a subset of wells for viruses and fecal markers capable of distinguishing septic versus bovine sources of contamination
- Install automated sampling systems on one or two wells to determine the timing of peak transport for viruses and indicator bacteria
- Identify spatial and temporal patterns of contamination

Objective 1

- County-wide randomized sampling of private wells stratified by depth-to-bedrock: <5 ft. 5-20 ft. > 20 ft
- Participation rate ~ 50% •
- Several day "Synoptic" sampling •
- Recharge
 - November 2015
 - 317 wells in analysis
- No recharge ullet
 - July 2016
 - 400 wells in analysis



One-third of wells in Kewaunee County unsafe for drinking water



Dairy LUC near the southern and of Sleepy Hollow Road in Keysurves County is emorig many large dairy farms in the where a repart study found one-third of the wells expeeded safety standards for drinking vision

Lee Sergouist of the Journal Sentine WTwee Edistron (1.2k Ort) 2

Dec. 21, 2018 COMPANY COMPANY

€ Nearly 100,000 cattle in county

Kewaunee County's cattle population is sharply higher than its resident population. Meanwhile, cattle numbers have soared since 1983.

County were found to be unasfe because they failed to meet health standards for drinking water, according to a new study. Researchers say it's too early to blame cattle as the source of

More than one-third of wells in dairy farm+intensive Kewaunce

pollution.



marganee Cos

But the findings are significant because the northeastern Wisconsin county - where cows far outnumber people - has become the center of a prowing controversy in Wisconsin over manure's role in polluting pround and surface water.

The results are from the first phase of research funded by the Department of Natural Resources to study pollution problems. In Revenues County, cottle numbers have grown sharply over two decades, and the amount of manure exceeds the waste generated by the human population of Milwaukee.

Animal waste isn't cleaned by sewage treatment plants, Nowever. Manure is spread on farmland as fertilizer and has long been an accepted practice of replenishing the soil for prowing crops

But manure use in Wisconsin has prown increasingly controversial. executally in areas with large-scale forms and where soil and local geologie conditiona make groundwater more vulnerable to pollution. Kewaunce County has 15 largerscale dairy farms, known as CAPOs, or exercitated animal feeding operations that have 700 or more milking cows per farm.

The county ranks among the highest in the number of CAPOs in the state, according to the DNR.

It also lies in a region where soil death varies and sometimes is only a few feet above fractured bedrock where bacteria from manure and

Kewaunee County Contamination Rate by Depth to Bedrock

		Recharge - Nov 15		No recharge – July 16	
			P-value		P-value
	Depth to	Estimated	chi	Estimated	chi
	bedrock	contamination	square	contamination	square
Indicator	(ft)	rate (%)	test	rate (%)	test
Total	<5	46	0.047	23	0.43
coliform	5-20	28		29	
	>20	19		21	
E. coli	<5	4	0.49	7	0.46
	5-20	1		1	
	>20	0.3		1	
Nitrate-N	<5	7	0.11	10	0.026
> 10 ppm	5-20	20		19	
un 163	>20	6		5	
TC or E.	<5	50	0.019	33	0.088
coli or	5-20	42		40	
high nitrate	>20	23		26	

County-wide contamination, weighted analysis: 26% (recharge) to 28% (non-recharge)

Objective 2

- Determine source of fecal contamination
- Randomized stratified sampling from 234 wells positive for TC, E. coli, or N-NO3 > 10 ppm
- Five sampling rounds, all completed:
 - April, August, November, 2016
 - January and March, 2017

Microbes: Identifying the Fecal Source (n = 138 samples from 131 wells) (red font indicates pathogenic)

			Concentration
Host	Microorganism	Wells	(gene copies/L)
	Adenovirus A	1	1
Human- specific	Bacteroidales-like Hum M2	7	< 1 – 1050
	Human <i>Bacteroides</i>	27	< 1 – 34
	Cryptosporidium hominis	1	qualitative
	All	29	
Bovine- specific	Bacteroidales-like Cow M2	2	29 - 915
	Bacteroidales-like Cow M3	4	3 – 49818
	Bovine Bacteroides	36	< 1 – 42398
	Bovine polyomavirus	8	< 1 – 451
	Bovine enterovirus	1	2
	All	40	

Not detected: [human-specific] adenovirus B & C, D, F, enterovirus, human polyomavirus, norovirus GI & GII [bovine-specific] coronavirus, bovine diarrheal virus 1 & 2

			Concentration
Host	Microorganism	Wells	(gene copies/L)
	Campylobacter jejuni	1	< 1
	Cryptosporidium parvum	8	qualitative
	Cryptosporidium spp.	16	< 1 – 3
	Giardia lamblia	2	< 1
	Pathogenic E. coli (eae gene)	1	4
	Pathogenic E. coli (stx1 gene)	1	16
Non-	Pathogenic E. coli (stx2 gene)	1	1
specific	Pepper mild mottle virus	13	2 - 3811
	Rotavirus A (NSP3 gene)	17	< 1 – 4481
	Rotavirus A (VP7 gene)	7	< 1 – 732
	Rotavirus C	3	45 – 1301
	Salmonella (invA gene)	3	< 1 – 13
	Salmonella (ttr gene)	5	5 – 59
	AII	44	
	Total positive wells	79	< 1 - 49818

Well Contamination Scoreboard



Not included: Rotavirus group A detections by qPCR are not human- or bovine-specific; subsequent typing will determine host specificity

Number of contaminated samples & wells

	Total	Negative	Positive	% Positive
Samples	138	56	82	59
Wells	131	52	79	60

Wells tested for microorganisms were selected from wells previously positive for total coliform or high nitrate (> 10 ppm $N-NO_3^{-}$)

Bacteroides Sequencing

Bacteroides dorei CL03T12C01, complete genome Alignment statistics for match					
#1 Score		Expect	Identities	Gaps	Strand
233 bits(126)		6e-58	126/126(100%)	0/126(0%)	Plus/Plus
Features: rRI	NA-16S ribosor	nal RNA			
Query 10	CTTCCTCTCAC	GAACCCCTATCO	CATCGTTGACTAGGTGGGCCGT	TACCCCGCCTA	CTATCT 69
Sbjct 379235	CTTCCTCTCAC	GAACCCCTATCC	ATCGTTGACTAGGTGGGCCGT	TACCCCGCCTA	CTATCT 379294
Query 70	AATGGAACGC	ATCCCCATCGT	CTACCGGAAAATACCTTTAATC	ATGCGGACATO	STGAACT 129
Sbjct 379295	AATGGAACG	CATCCCCATCGT	CTACCGGAAAATACCTTTAATC	CATGCGGACAT	GTGAACT 379354
Query 130	CATGAT 135				
Sbjct 379355	CATGAT 3793	360			

- Human-specific *Bacteroides:* 19 samples sequenced, all match human (9 samples still to be sequenced)
- Bovine-specific *Bacteroides:* 27 samples sequenced, all match bovine (3 samples still to be sequenced)
- No sequences matched qPCR positive controls (i.e., no lab contamination)

Rotavirus Group A Subtyping

Source	G-Type	P-Type	Number of samples
Human	G1	P[8]	5
Bovine	G10	P[11]	6
Human and Bovine	G1/G10	P[8]/P[11]	2

Four rotavirus positive samples from the March sampling still need subtyping

Kewaunee County Septic Systems

- 4822 septic systems in the county
- 540 holding tanks, 155 abandoned

Personal comm. Lee Luft, Kewaunee County Supervisor, March 7, 2017

Legend

Purple = replaced or inspected Red = not inspected Yellow = holding tank Blue = abandoned system



Roughly 200 million gallons septic effluent per year released to the subsurface

Conceptual Model of Fecal Contamination in Kewaunee County -



Conceptual Model of Fecal Contamination in Kewaunee County -

2



Groundwater Levels during MST

USGS 443535087345401 KW-25/24E/34-0183



Period of approved data

From Farm Field to Household Well



Manure applied Oct 25, 2016

> 1 inch rain Oct 26, 2016

House near field

Farm field sampled Oct 27, 2016



Bovine Bacteroides Bovine enterovirus Bovine polyomavirus M2 Bacteroides-like M3 Bacteroides-like

Rotavirus A NSP3 Rotavirus A VP7 Rotavirus C

Tap water Oct 27, 2016

Bovine Bacteroides Bovine enterovirus Bovine polyomavirus M2 Bacteroides-like M3 Bacteroides-like Campylobacter jejuni Cryptosporidium Rotavirus A NSP3 Rotavirus A VP7 Rotavirus C



From Farm Field to Household Well



Manure applied Oct 25, 2016

> 1 inch rain Oct 26, 2016

House near field

Neighbor's well sampled Oct 31, 2016



Bovine Bacteroides

Bovine polyomavirus M2 Bacteroides-like M3 Bacteroides-like

Rotavirus A NSP3 Rotavirus A VP7 Rotavirus C

Tap water Oct 27, 2016

Bovine Bacteroides Bovine enterovirus Bovine polyomavirus M2 Bacteroides-like M3 Bacteroides-like Campylobacter jejuni Cryptosporidium Rotavirus A NSP3 Rotavirus A VP7 Rotavirus C



Objective 3

- Use automated samplers to analyze the time-series of fecal contamination to:
 - identify time periods/recharge conditions that lead to the highest pathogen loads
 - identify real-time measured parameters (e.g. Cl) predictive of pathogen contamination
 - relate pathogen loading to the manure runoff risk predictions for surface water



Summary

- By stratified random sampling it is estimated 26% to 28% of private wells in Kewaunee County are positive for TC, E. coli, or nitrate-N > 10 ppm
- Well contamination results from both human and bovine fecal sources
- Wells are contaminated with pathogens of significant concern: *Salmonella*, EHEC, *Cryptosporidium*, rotavirus
- Future work will determine how fecal source, pathogen types, and pathogen concentrations are associated with well construction, hydrogeological, and environmental variables

Questions? Comments?









