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Q. What is your name and business address?
A. My name is Nathaniel Baer. My title is Energy Program Director with the Iowa Environmental Council. Our offices are located at 521 East Locust Street, Suite 220, Des Moines, Iowa 50309.

Q. On whose behalf are you testifying today?
A. I am testifying on behalf of the Iowa Environmental Council and Environmental Law & Policy Center.

Q. Please describe your background.
A. I have a bachelor of arts degree from Earlham College in Richmond, Indiana and a law degree from the University of Iowa College of Law in Iowa City, although I am not a practicing attorney. I have worked for the Iowa Environmental Council (IEC) since 2007. The Iowa Environmental Council is a 501(c)(3) non-profit, member-based corporation that works to advance public policies that provide a safe, healthy environment and sustainable future for all Iowans. In my capacity at IEC, I have worked on a wide range of energy policy issues, including renewable energy, transmission, energy efficiency, biofuels, and transportation. This has included work on state and federal legislation and administrative rules both with federal and state agencies, as well as a range of dockets at the IUB. I have served on stakeholder committees, such as energy research or policy committees, established by the Iowa legislature, Midwestern Governors Association, Iowa Department of Transportation, and the University of Northern Iowa’s Center for Energy and Environmental Education. I currently serve on the Iowa Energy Resources
working group for the Iowa Energy Plan and am on the board of directors for the regional non-profit organization Wind on the Wires. I have participated regularly in the Iowa energy efficiency stakeholder collaborative convened by the Office of Consumer Advocate since 2009 and the Midcontinent Power Sector Collaborative since September 2014.

Q. Have you testified with the Iowa Utilities Board before?

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
A. The purpose of my testimony is to support and expand upon specific aspects of MidAmerican’s proposal to construct 2,000 MW of new wind generation in Iowa and its proposed goal to meet 100% of retail sales with renewable electricity. I am not providing testimony on ratemaking principles or every aspect of the Wind XI proposal.

Q. MidAmerican Energy Witnesses Fehrman and Fehr emphasize the significance of timing to access the full value of the federal production tax credit. What is your response?
A. In past years, Congress has allowed the federal PTC to expire or lapse and has also extended the PTC for only short periods of time. Given such circumstances, the longer-term extension of the PTC by Congress last December, and the phase out of its value over time, is seen as a significant and uncommon legislative action. Although an additional extension of the PTC by a future Congress could be helpful to the wind industry, that extension cannot be expected or relied upon. The window of opportunity to use the full value of the PTC is here today and may only be here today. MidAmerican’s proposal to take advantage of that full value of the PTC with Wind XI is a key consideration.

Q. Witness Fehrman discusses the potential for Wind XI to assist with compliance with the Clean Power Plan. What is your response?

A. I expect wind energy in general to be a major compliance option for the Clean Power Plan, particularly in places like Iowa with a robust wind resource. For example, the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) released an analysis in 2015 indicating that wind energy would be one of the most important compliance options for the Clean Power Plan.\(^1\) This year, the EIA has released a partial ‘early release’ of its Annual Energy Outlook (the full AEO is due out later this summer) and this partial release indicates that the region in which Iowa is located “takes advantage of abundant, low-cost renewable resources in response to the CPP.”\(^2\)

---

Wind XI will provide substantial compliance benefits for MidAmerican, which I discuss in more detail for both rate-based and mass-based plan options below. The Clean Power Plan provides states with significant flexibility in determining compliance pathways and there are a number of options for meeting the emissions guidelines. As a general matter, however, in a state plan that demonstrates compliance by meeting a lower carbon dioxide emissions rate, or rate-based plan, wind energy can be expected to reduce emissions by offsetting the need for fossil fuel-fired generation and will generate emission rate credits (ERCs) that can be used to demonstrate compliance. In a state plan that demonstrates compliance by meeting a lower total amount of carbon dioxide emissions, or mass-based plan, wind energy can also be expected to reduce emissions by offsetting the need for fossil fuel-fired generation and will reduce the number of allowances needed for compliance.

Q. Why would MidAmerican add wind for the Clean Power Plan given the timing for compliance in the final rule?

A. As MidAmerican observed, there is currently a court stay on the Clean Power Plan. However, it is reasonable to implement compliance measures for the Clean Power Plan now. This is particularly true for compliance measures that carry multiple benefits, such as Wind XI, and that can be seen as a ‘no regrets’ option.

Even without the court stay, there would have been some level of uncertainty over a number of details in the state plan for Iowa, like in most other states. In the absence of the court stay, the Clean Power Plan would require an initial submittal by September 2016
and allow states up to September 2018 to submit a final state plan. It is likely Iowa would
have submitted its state plan in 2018, more than two years from now, and used the next
two years to make decisions about what type of state plan (rate, mass, trading ready, etc.)
to submit as well as a number of design options in that state plan. There would be
uncertainties with or without the court stay, and Wind XI is a reasonable and appropriate
action to take to prepare for the Clean Power Plan given the uncertainties presented in
either circumstance. With the full value of the federal PTC available now and a limited
window of opportunity to build a wind project in 2016 using the full value of the PTC, it
makes sense to propose and build Wind XI now.

Q. How will Wind XI help MidAmerican comply with a rate-based approach to the
Clean Power Plan?

A. Wind energy produced by Wind XI is a major compliance option and very likely allows
MidAmerican to fully comply with its 2030 emission reduction target in a rate-based
plan. MidAmerican has provided analysis on Wind XI’s anticipated role in meeting its
potential compliance obligation under the Clean Power Plan. MidAmerican Response to
Environmental Intervenors Data Requests 5 and 6, filed as Exhibit EI-1 and Exhibit EI-2
(Confidential). MidAmerican used the three economic modeling scenarios for this
analysis as in other aspects of its ratemaking application: ABB, IHS, and PIRA. Under
each of those scenarios, without Wind XI, MidAmerican does not generate sufficient
ERCs from existing wind projects to comply with the Clean Power Plan. While the Iowa
emission rate target is 1,283 lbs/MWh, MidAmerican’s projected emissions rates are
above that rate and vary by scenario: lbs/MWh (ABB), lbs/MWh (IHS), and
To achieve the 2030 emissions rate, MidAmerican would need additional emission rate credits to comply: ERCs in the ABB scenario, in the IHS scenario, and in the PIRA scenario. MidAmerican would need to generate those ERCs from additional eligible resources or potentially purchase ERCs in a trading market.

I will note that MidAmerican did not include other potential sources of ERCs in its analysis, such as those generated from eligible energy efficiency resources or eligible solar resources. It is possible that other sources of ERCs from such existing or future eligible resources, including efficiency or solar, would help MidAmerican achieve compliance, particularly in the ABB scenario that requires the fewest additional ERCs for compliance. Regardless, MidAmerican will need to take additional actions, such as building wind energy projects like Wind XI, adding other sources of renewable energy like solar, and/or implementing new energy efficiency programs, to achieve compliance. Given the availability and significant benefit of the PTC today, it makes sense to add enough wind to ensure compliance with the Clean Power Plan in future years.

When Wind XI is added to the scenario analysis, Wind XI puts MidAmerican into compliance in each of the three scenarios. Wind XI would also allow MidAmerican to exceed its compliance obligation and generate excess ERCs. MidAmerican’s projected emissions rates range from lbs/MWh (ABB) to lbs/MWh (IHS) and lbs/MWh (PIRA) – all below the 1,283 lbs/MWh target for Iowa. Excess ERCs can

6
provide additional benefit to MidAmerican’s customers, as I discuss in more detail below.

Q. How will Wind XI help MidAmerican comply with a mass-based approach to the Clean Power Plan?

A. In general, Wind XI would help MidAmerican comply in several ways. First, adding wind generation would allow MidAmerican to back down affected fossil generating units and emit less CO2 from those units. By running affected fossil units less while meeting overall energy needs with added wind, MidAmerican would need fewer allowances and would be in a better position to cover its emissions with allowances under whatever allowance allocation approach the state plan takes. Second, it is possible that Wind XI could be directly allocated allowances. For example, the proposed Federal Plan includes a set-aside of allowances for renewable energy projects, in which a pool of allowances are available to be directly allocated to renewable energy projects. 3 This pool of allowances is proposed to grow over time as the allowances otherwise allocated to fossil-fueled units that retire would then go into the renewable energy set-aside pool. 4 If MidAmerican did not need any allowances directly allocated to Wind XI to cover its own emissions, it could sell those allowances to other utilities. Like excess ERCs, excess allowances can provide an additional benefit to MidAmerican’s customers. I discuss the nature of this benefit with a focus on excess ERCs below.

4 Id. at 65,026-65,027.
Q. In addition to MidAmerican’s compliance, what opportunities could Wind XI create under the Clean Power Plan?

A. The Clean Power Plan provides options for trading across utility service territories and state lines. Utilities that take actions to exceed their compliance obligation will have excess credits that are expected to have value and can be sold to other utilities. If the utility exceeding compliance is in a rate-based state, the excess credit will be an ERC. If the utility exceeding compliance is in a mass-based state, the excess credit will be an allowance. ERCs and allowances are expected to have value during the 2022-2030 compliance period. There are multiple modeling efforts underway to quantify the potential range of values for ERCs and allowances in different potential trading markets. The modeling I have reviewed indicates there are scenarios where the value is low or even zero in the early years of the 2022-2030 time frame, but the value tends to increase as time gets closer to year 2030. It is important to note that compliance continues after 2030 as well, with the expectation of an ongoing compliance obligation and trading market for ERCs and allowances, but most modeling is focused on the 2022-2030 time frame.

I have focused here on the opportunity to trade excess credits in a rate-based system. By allowing MidAmerican to exceed the target emissions rate of 1,283 lbs/MWh, Wind XI provides MidAmerican with the opportunity to generate and trade excess ERCs.

With Wind XI, MidAmerican projects excess ERCs ranging from [redacted] (PIRA), [redacted] (IHS), and [redacted] (ABB).
MidAmerican has not assessed a value to these excess ERCs, but other modeling efforts have identified potential values for ERCs in 2030. For example, recent modeling results from the Electric Power Research Institute find a range of ERC prices between $10 and $18 in 2030, depending on different scenarios and assumptions.\(^5\) I have also seen a similar range of ERC prices from other modeling results.\(^6\) Final versions of some of these modeling results have not been published yet, but I can supplement my testimony if final modeling results are published and would inform significantly different ERC price assumptions.

With the fewest excess ERCs, and an ERC value of $10, sale of excess ERCs would generate in revenue in a single year. Wind XI would be expected to generate ERCs every year it is in operation during Clean Power Plan compliance years (e.g., starting in 2022). Sales of this quantity of ERCs at this price over a five year period, for example, would generate . With the most ERCs, and an ERC value of $10, sale of excess ERCs would generate in revenue in a single year. With the same quantity of ERC sales annually over a five year period, sale of all excess ERCs would generate in revenue.

If ERC prices are lower, such as $5, these amounts would be reduced appropriately (e.g., by half). If ERC prices are higher, such as $15, these amounts would be increased.

\(^5\) Electric Power Research Institute, State-Level Modeling of Clean Power Plan Compliance Pathways with EPRI’s US-REGEN Model (February 2016).

\(^6\) This includes modeling by The Nicholas Institute, Bipartisan Policy Center, FACETs, and an MJ Bradley data visualizer tool that produces ERC prices in different scenarios.
Q. What becomes of the revenue that might be available from excess ERCs?

A. MidAmerican has indicated it will manage any ERC revenues for the benefit of customers consistent with the “Environmental Benefits, CO2 credits, and the Like” ratemaking principle. MidAmerican Response to Environmental Intervenor Data Request filed as Exhibit EI-1 (Confidential). However, MidAmerican did not project revenue from ERC (or allowance) sales in its ratemaking application and analysis for Wind XI. I believe this was a conservative approach given several specific uncertainties in the type of state plan that Iowa may adopt and the timing of implementation of the Clean Power Plan given the court stay. However, it is important to note that this revenue is a potentially significant added benefit to the overall economics for Wind XI and an added benefit for customers.

In addition to the potential added revenue from ERCs, there is an important timing consideration. Revenue from ERCs could provide a new source of revenue from Wind XI as the revenue from the federal PTC tapers off.

Q. MidAmerican has not proposed to sell renewable energy credits, or RECs. Can MidAmerican treat ERCs in a different way than it treats RECs?

A. EPA addressed this issue in the final Clean Power Plan rule. EPA stated that ERCs are unique instruments specifically intended for compliance with the Clean Power Plan. According to EPA, an “ERC is issued separately from any other instruments that may be issued for a MWh of energy generation or energy savings from a qualifying measure”
including instruments like a renewable energy credit or energy efficiency credit. EPA
discusses how states have the flexibility to assess how ERCs interact with other
instruments like RECs in the development of a state plan.

Given this guidance from EPA, I believe it is reasonable for MidAmerican to plan to sell
ERCs while not planning to sell RECs. The EPA has indicated these are separate
compliance instruments (e.g., ERCs for the Clean Power Plan and RECs for a state
renewable portfolio standard or a company renewable energy target). The state plan that
Iowa ultimately adopts, which will be developed and submitted to EPA assuming
litigation upholds the Clean Power Plan, can provide further clarity for MidAmerican to
have these options.

Q. You include MidAmerican’s projections for compliance using three economic
scenarios. Have you conducted any additional analysis regarding MidAmerican’s
compliance under the Clean Power Plan?

A. I have conducted analysis for the state of Iowa as a whole using publicly available
spreadsheet tools from MJ Bradley & Associates and Synapse Energy Economics. I have
not conducted analysis for a specific utility such as MidAmerican Energy. Because the
results of these tools are for the state of Iowa, they are not directly comparable to
MidAmerican’s projections, but they can provide a useful context to evaluate the
MidAmerican projects. At a high level, MidAmerican’s results are consistent with the
results I have obtained from these publicly available modeling tools, in terms of the
approximate quantity of wind needed for compliance under a rate-based plan. For

7 EPA, 80 Fed. Reg. 64,908 (October 23, 2015).
example, using the MJ Bradley tool, accounting for on some key input assumptions, I
have found that approximately 2,500 MW of new wind energy, along with modest energy
efficiency savings, would put the state of Iowa into compliance with the Clean Power
Plan by achieving a rate of 1,280 lbs/MWh in 2030. MidAmerican’s scenarios achieve
emissions rates for MidAmerican alone that are lower than 1,280 lbs/MWh from 2,000
MW in Wind XI. I am not attempting to evaluate the exact accuracy of MidAmerican’s
numbers, but I believe they are reasonable projections in the context of other analysis I
have seen and my use of these publicly available modeling tools.

Q. Witness Fehrman discusses a future where renewable energy plays an increasingly
prominent role. Do you agree?

A. Yes. There are a number of drivers that are significantly increasing the role of renewable
ergy. Witnesses Fehrman, Fehr and Hammer discuss many of them in different areas of
testimony. I agree with their overall conclusion and expand on some of the key drivers
below, including the reduced cost of renewable energy, renewable resource potential,
private sector renewable energy commitments, and economic benefits.

Iowa has experienced and continues to experience many of these drivers in very positive
ways. Although Iowa – and MidAmerican – has seen success with renewable energy to
date, there is enormous potential to continue the transition to renewable energy in coming
years and to reap the benefits of that transition. Wind XI is an important step toward
more renewable energy for MidAmerican and the state of Iowa.
Q. How does MidAmerican’s proposal to add 2,000 MW of wind fit into Iowa’s renewable energy future?

A. Iowa has a very significant renewable energy resource potential that is well in excess of current electricity use or generation. Witness Hammer included data from a recent NREL report that is focused on available technical and economic potential for primary sources of renewable energy. That report includes NREL’s updated wind technical potential estimate for Iowa of 276 gigawatts (GW) of capacity or 1,045,000 gigawatt-hours (GWh) of generation. Compared to a technical resource potential of 276 GW, MidAmerican’s proposal to add 2 GW of wind will use a small fraction of this overall resource.

Several additional studies illustrate possible near-term installation rates for wind generation in Iowa. In 2008, the U.S. Department of Energy released a comprehensive study on achieving 20% of the U.S. electricity supply with wind energy by year 2030.8 To reach the 300,000 MW of wind needed to supply 20% of U.S. electricity, each state would contribute a share of the total. Iowa’s share in the study is 19,910 MW of wind by 2030.9 Last year, the U.S. Department of Energy updated and expanded this study with its Wind Vision study.10 The Wind Vision study includes several scenarios for wind capacity development nationally and in each state in order to reach 20% wind by 2030 and 35% wind by 2050. Iowa’s share of the capacity needed to reach these national goals is between 17,000 MW and 20,000 MW by 2030 and between 37,000 and 46,000 MW by 2050 in several main scenarios.11

9 U.S. DOE, 20% Wind, Appendix A Data Tables (2008).
Iowa ended 2015 with about 6,200 MW of installed wind capacity and is likely to reach 7,000 MW of wind capacity in the next year given projects that are proposed or under construction (including Wind X). Wind XI would then bring Iowa to approximately 9,000 MW of wind. This keeps Iowa on track to reach the benchmarks for wind development in the two Department of Energy reports of approximately 10,000 MW by 2020 and 20,000 MW by 2030. Wind XI is a very significant and important step towards Iowa’s renewable energy future, but it is also only one of many more needed steps. Assuming Wind XI is built on schedule, Iowa will need another 1,000 MW to reach the 2020 benchmark or 11,000 MW to reach the 2030 benchmark.

Q. What costs and cost trends are driving renewable energy development?

A. Renewable energy costs have dropped significantly in recent years, allowing renewable energy to compete with other sources of generation on a cost basis. MidAmerican Witnesses Fehr and Hammer both reference renewable energy cost information from several recent National Renewable Energy Lab and Department of Energy reports. In addition to those reports, the latest Lazard analysis from 2015 on the levelized cost of energy from different energy resources provides helpful cost information on renewable energy, including wind and solar. The Lazard analysis also allows for a comparison of renewables to a broader range of possible energy resources.12

The unsubsidized levelized cost of wind, such as without the federal PTC, ranges from 3.2 cents/kWh to 7.7 cents/kWh. I would expect Iowa to be on the low end of this

levelized cost range – closer to 3.2 cents/kWh – given the wind resource, the price data
for the Interior region for wind from the Department of Energy, \(^{13}\) and the regional data in
Lazard showing the Midwest with the lowest LCOE for wind (3.2 cents/kWh to 5.1
cents/kWh, the only region that goes as low as 3.2 cents/kWh).

This low end range for wind is well below the low end ranges for other new generation
resources. For example, gas combined cycle is 5.2 cents/kWh to 7.8 cents/kWh and
nuclear is 9.7 cents/kWh to 13.6 cents/kWh. With federal subsidies included, the picture
becomes even more favorable for wind with a range of 1.4 cents/kWh to 6.3 cents/kWh.

This Lazard analysis also provides a summary of cost declines in recent years for both
wind and solar. Between 2009 and 2015, the LCOE for wind dropped 61%, from a low
end range of 10.1 cents/kWh in 2009 to the cost discussed above, 3.2 cents/kWh, in 2015.
Similarly, utility-scale solar has dropped 82% in the same time frame.

As the Lazard, DOE and NREL studies all indicate, MidAmerican has an opportunity to
add a low cost generating resource that is favorable on a cost basis to other sources of
generation and to do so while taking advantage of the full value of the federal PTC to
provide additional benefits to customers.

Q. How is the private sector driving more renewable energy?

\(^{13}\) Department of Energy, 2014 Wind Technologies Market Report (August 2015) at viii and 50.
A. Witness Fehrman references that a number of private sector companies have made pledges regarding renewable energy and identifies some specific companies that have made pledges on page 7 of his testimony. I would like to elaborate on this issue.

Private sector companies have made a variety of renewable energy, carbon reduction, and sustainability pledges in recent years, with increasing numbers of companies involved and more ambitious pledges made each year. While these pledges include commitments to improve a variety of environmental metrics, many are focused on increasing use of renewable energy.

For example, 78 Fortune 500 companies have joined EPA’s Green Power Partnership and are using renewable energy in some way to meet at least portion of annual electricity needs. At least 40 Fortune 500 companies have gone further and established specific renewable energy targets. Of these, a number have set the aggressive target to meet 100% of their electricity needs with renewable energy, including: Walmart, Apple, Amazon, Proctor and Gamble, Biogen, Microsoft, Nike, HP, Google, Starbucks, Voya Financial (formerly ING Group), Unilever, Goldman Sachs, Johnson & Johnson, and

---

Salesforce. While the projected dates for reaching these commitments vary, many are in the near future and some companies are already meeting the 100% target with current operations. The number of companies setting these targets continues to grow.

An even larger number of companies are using an internal carbon price today and have identified carbon reduction or greenhouse gas reduction goals, with increased use of renewable energy identified as a key strategy to meet those goals. For example, a Climate Disclosure Project analysis of nearly 2,000 global corporations found almost half are either using an internal carbon price today or plan to in the next two years. While 27% had set an emissions reduction target in 2010, 44% had set a target in 2015. Over one-third (36%) of companies are using renewable energy to reduce emissions and meet such targets.

Customers will not be able to meet ambitious renewable energy or carbon emissions targets without the utilities serving them taking similarly ambitious actions to change their generation mix to include more renewable and carbon-free sources of energy.

Q. How are communities driving the development of renewable energy?

---


Id. at 28.

Id. at 30.
Mayor Frank Cownie’s testimony provides a good example of why and how communities are interested in renewable energy development to meet interrelated sustainability, economic development, and carbon reduction goals.

Q. How are economic impacts driving renewable energy?

A. Witness Fehr highlights the valuable economic impacts of Wind XI specifically, including anticipated property tax revenue, land lease payments, and job creation. In addition to Witness Fehr’s discussion of these benefits, I would like to expand upon them by summarizing the broader context for wind energy’s economic benefits in Iowa and addressing how Wind XI can expand these benefits to new communities in Iowa.

According to the latest data from the American Wind Energy Association, wind energy was responsible for between 6,001 and 7,000 direct and indirect jobs in Iowa in 2015. This total jobs number includes a mix of jobs in construction, operations and maintenance, manufacturing, and the wind energy supply chain. A recent report by the Environmental Law & Policy Center identifies 75 companies engaged in the wind industry supply chain in Iowa. The ELPC report includes a map showing that these businesses are distributed across Iowa, meaning that communities throughout the state benefit from job and business creation from wind energy in Iowa.

---

21 Environmental Law & Policy Center, *Iowa Wind Power & Solar Energy Supply Chain Businesses* (2015) at [http://elpc.org/tag/iowa-clean-energy-supply-chain-report](http://elpc.org/tag/iowa-clean-energy-supply-chain-report). Note that this report was published before more recent information from AWEA, so the ELPC job numbers are lower than the AWEA information I am using in this testimony.
Wind turbines are also becoming significant sources of local property tax revenue in the counties that host wind farms. For example, according to the Iowa Wind Energy Association, wind energy accounted for almost 10% of the county tax base in Pocahontas County and brought in over $3M in tax revenue in a recent three-year period.\[22\]

Q. **Is there anything else to consider regarding the economic impact of Wind XI?**

A. Yes. Wind XI is very likely to bring the many economic benefits of wind energy to new counties in Iowa. I have reviewed the list of potential sites included in Witness Fehr’s Confidential Schedule 1. I have compared this list to a county by county map of existing wind turbines in Iowa, which was updated by Tom Wind, Wind Utility Consulting, in August 2015 and is attached as Exhibit EI-3. Many of the counties with sites under consideration by MidAmerican for Wind XI have few or no existing wind turbines as of August 2015. Depending on which sites are ultimately selected, Wind XI could bring wind energy to approximately 1 counties that have either no wind turbines or only a few wind turbines

Wind XI can help spread the economic benefits of wind energy to more parts of Iowa. It can provide new property tax revenue to counties with no such revenue from wind turbines and provide landowner lease payments to landowners in counties that have not had that option to date. Wind XI can boost local economic activity with temporary construction jobs and some permanent operations jobs. From the perspective of the

economic benefits from Wind XI, it is significant that Wind XI could add a large number
of turbines and associated economic development to new places in Iowa rather than add
more turbines to counties that already have significant wind development.

Q. Are there other important impacts from the list of potential sites MidAmerican is
considering for Wind XI?

A. Yes. Wind XI can improve the geographic diversity of MidAmerican’s wind energy
portfolio, which can make the overall wind portfolio more beneficial as a resource.

The intermittency or variability of certain renewable resources can be mitigated to some
extent by spreading out those resources geographically. Additional information provided
by MidAmerican helps illustrate this point with a basic example. MidAmerican provided
its aggregate wind energy output for each hour of a year (8760 hours) as well as that wind
energy output broken out by the primary county where each individual wind farm is
located. MidAmerican Response to Environmental Intervenors Data Request 17 filed as
Exhibit EI-4 (Confidential). The average hourly output for the year was 945 MWh. To
make comparison among counties more straightforward, I rounded up and sorted to find
the five hours during the year where aggregate wind generation was 1,000 MWh. The
amount of generation contributed by wind farms in each county varies for each of these
five hours, yet the total from all counties for each of the five hours adds up to the same
1,000 MWh. Some counties, like Adair and Cass, provided \[\text{[ ]} \] of the amount in one
hour but \[\text{[ ]} \] in another. Pocahontas County and Pottawattamie County also illustrate
this point when compared to each other. Pocahontas is in northwest Iowa while
Pottawattamie is in southwest Iowa. In two hours where Pocahontas had lower production, Pottawattamie had higher production. Conversely, Pocahontas had higher production on two other hours. Production during the hour for was almost identical in both counties. This information illustrates how the wind resource can vary to some extent across Iowa on a given day or hour. Adding wind farms in counties across the state has helped ensure that MidAmerican can access wind generation on a more regular basis by accessing wind when and where it is available throughout the state – e.g., northwest Iowa one hour or day, southwest Iowa the next hour or next day.

This example helps illustrate how MidAmerican already has geographic diversity in its portfolio of wind generation. Wind XI will enhance this diversity. Wind XI sites are likely to be in new counties and potentially in new parts of the state for MidAmerican
wind generation. As I discussed above relative to economic benefits, MidAmerican has identified a number of sites that are in counties where little or no wind generation already exists in Iowa. I also compared the counties identified as having potential Wind XI sites with a map of current (before Wind XI) MidAmerican wind projects. MidAmerican does not appear to have wind projects in of the counties it is exploring for Wind XI sites.

By adding more counties and more geographic areas of Iowa to its portfolio, MidAmerican can expect less variability and intermittency and rely on wind from somewhere in the state for more hours in a given year. As more data is gathered from geographically distributed wind projects in Iowa, more insights will be available on the benefits from geographic diversity.

Q. What is your response to MidAmerican’s fuel diversity given Wind XI?

A. Wind XI increases the share of MidAmerican’s capacity and generation from wind over time, but MidAmerican still projects capacity and generation from variety of resources. Witness Hammer’s testimony includes MidAmerican’s nameplate and accreditable capacity by energy type with and without Wind XI and indicates a mix of resources contribute to MidAmerican’s portfolio. The same is true for annual energy generation. See MidAmerican Response to Environmental Intervenors Data Request 4 and Confidential Attachment EI 4-2 attached as Exhibit EI-5 (Confidential). MidAmerican uses its three economic modeling approaches, ABB, PIRA, and HIS, to project the

---

contribution of Wind XI to overall generation. Wind XI allows MidAmerican to increase
wind generation so that it is the largest single source of energy generation in two of the
three scenarios (it would have been the largest single source in both ABB scenarios).
However, in all three scenarios, wind generation does not reach 50% of total generation
in 2030. Wind XI increases the prominence of wind generation in MidAmerican’s
portfolio and can allow MidAmerican to reduce reliance on imported fossil fuels,
particularly coal. MidAmerican reported in its 2015 Annual Electric Report that fuel
costs to support fossil steam generation total over $235 million in 2015 alone.\textsuperscript{24} Wind has
no fuel cost and is an important resource to continue to add given the dominant role that
c coal generation has in MidAmerican’s portfolio.

Q. MidAmerican has identified a goal to reach 100% of Iowa retail customers’ annual
energy usage. What is your response?

A. Meeting 100% of retail customer annual electricity needs is an obtainable goal and one
that can bring significant economic benefits to Iowa and to MidAmerican’s customers.
As discussed above, Iowa’s renewable resource potential is certainly large enough to
allow MidAmerican, as well as other Iowa utilities, to reach this goal. All Iowans deserve
access to renewable energy and utility-supplied renewable energy is a very important way
to provide that access. I would note that while the goal of meeting 100% of retail sales
with renewable energy is a key milestone that we strongly support, MidAmerican is not
proposing to transition to only renewable energy. Witness Hammer’s testimony indicates
that MidAmerican will continue to operate significant coal and gas capacity in the

\textsuperscript{24} MidAmerican Energy, \textit{Annual Report: Rate Regulated Electric Utilities}, Form IE-1, Iowa Utilities Board Docket No.
coming years. Research supports the ability to transition to higher penetrations of renewable energy, including 100% renewables, and we welcome future opportunities to continue increasing both MidAmerican and Iowa’s use of renewable energy.

Q. **MidAmerican indicates that Wind XI allows it to meet 85% of customer electricity needs with renewable energy. How can MidAmerican accomplish the final 15%?**

A. There are many options available to continue increasing renewable energy and meet the 100% target and to do so in a way that benefits both the company and its customers. Additional wind projects are certainly one option given Iowa’s wind resource. As I indicated in my testimony in Wind X last year, adding solar PV would serve as a good complement to both MidAmerican’s wind energy resource and its load profile. Community solar projects are one important way to add solar PV. MidAmerican indicated in its filing that it is currently exploring this option at around 5 MW. A number of smaller utilities in Iowa have either completed successful community solar projects or are developing such projects. Cedar Falls Utilities recently energized the largest such array in Iowa at 1.5 MW. Other cooperative and municipal utilities that have installed community solar projects include Heartland REC, Farmers Electric Coop, and Western Iowa Power Cooperative. Additional utility-owned solar projects are also moving forward, such as including Central Iowa Power Cooperative’s 5.5 MW project announced earlier this year and Alliant Energy’s 4 MW solar project announced last week. In addition to community or utility owned solar, customer owned or customer sited solar has

---

significant potential in Iowa. An updated NREL analysis on the potential for rooftop solar alone indicates that approximately 35% of annual generation can be met with rooftop solar. It is possible for customer-owned or customer-sited solar to contribute part of the 15% and do so in a way that benefits both MidAmerican Energy and all of its customers.

MidAmerican Response to Data Center Data Request 17 filed as Exhibit EI-6. (Confidential)

In addition to increasing renewable resources, other strategies may be helpful to integrate renewables and increase the value of renewables. Those strategies could include demand response, energy efficiency, and storage.

Q. What are your recommendation regarding MidAmerican’s Wind XI proposal?

A. I support the timely approval and construction of Wind XI. Wind XI provides very substantial economic and environmental benefits to MidAmerican customers and to the state of Iowa as a whole, including compliance with the Clean Power Plan, use of the federal PTC at its full value, reduced use of imported coal and other non-renewable fuels, job creation and economic development and the addition of a low cost generating resource. I support Wind XI and hope to see additional renewable energy proposals from MidAmerican and other utilities in Iowa in the near future.

---

Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
A. Yes.
STATE OF IOWA  )
COUNTY OF POLK  )

I, Nathaniel Baer, being first duly sworn, depose and state that the statements contained in the foregoing prepared direct testimony are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, and that such prepared direct testimony constitutes my sworn statement in this proceeding.

/s/ Nathaniel Baer
Nathaniel Baer

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 21st day of June, 2016.

/s/ Barb Garbes
Notary Public in and for the State of Iowa